

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ful

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,565	10/09/2003	Shyh-Dar Geeng	10056-US-PA	2564
31561 7	590 08/25/2006		EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			PENDLETON, BRIAN T	
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/605,565	GEENG, SHYH-DAR				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Brian T. Pendleton	2615				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the property of the Market Part of the Marke	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be liod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
· 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on OS	9 October 2003.					
		his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice unde						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5,9 and 10 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2003</u> is/a		ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	a)						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a l		ved				
_		iot of the continue copies not recei	veu.				
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2)  Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mail					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	т чили лурновион (г (О-192)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: "Customers patients are seriously challenged since they must coast a long time to wait for the prolonged procedure while booting the operating system".

A substitute specification including the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the specification is not in proper idiomatic English and there are numerous typographical errors, such as words combined.

A substitute specification must not contain new matter. The substitute specification must be submitted with markings showing all the changes relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. An accompanying clean version (without markings) and a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter must also be supplied. Numbering the paragraphs of the specification of record is not considered a change that must be shown.

Art Unit: 2615

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung. US Patent 6,948,058 in view of Wen et al, US Patent 6,928,542. Tung discloses a method and device for playing multimedia files on a computer comprising storage media 100, computer unit 330, stereo unit 130, and inherently a display unit. As discussed in column 2 lines 37-48, the user can determine whether the computer is used with its regular operating system or if it is used as a multimedia player. The BIOS runs to different types of programs accordingly. Although the user is given the option to configure the computer, there is no teaching that a function menu is provided for configuring the computer. Wen et al teach a method and system for setting an operating system through a menu at startup of the computer. See figures 1, 3, and 7. The method discloses that a function menu is provided by modifying the BIOS booting procedure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tung, per the teachings of Wen, by modifying the BIOS booting procedure for the purpose of giving the user a visual indication of the computer configuration selections. Claims 1, 4, and 10 are rejected. As to claim 2, the stereo operation instruction is provided by commands unit 320. Per claim 3, inherently a CD-ROM storage media has the claimed instruction set.

Art Unit: 2615

Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung in view of Wen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Du et al, US Patent Application Publication US2003/0188144. The combination of Tung and Wen does not disclose that the display has a memory, processor and a LCD module. Du et al teach a integrated PDA and computer, in the same field of endeavor as Wen, the computer having a LCD module and inherent memory and processor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Tung and Wen to have the display taught by Du for the purpose of providing the highest quality video to the user.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,565 Page 5

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian T. Pendleton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2615

3-3.2

btp